## Minutes ULM Faculty Senate October 13, 2016 12:30 pm to 2:00 pm Hemphill Hall Room 124

\* indicates excused absence; Name/Name indicates Moved/Seconded

Senators Present: Jeffrey Anderson, Roger Carpenter, Melanie Chapman, Chris Gissendanner, Cecil Hutto, Kioh Kim, Tina Mullone, Heather Pilcher, Will Rogers, Vonny Thornton, Claire Vangelisti

**Senators Absent:** \*Sandy Bailey, \*Emad El-Giar, Lyle Marty Holin, David McGraw, \*Adam Pate, Savannah Posey, \*Joshua Stockley, \*Paul Wiedemeier

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of Minutes-September 15, 2016
  - A. Approved Kim/Pilcher
- IV. Committee reports
  - A. Academic Standards No official report
    - i. Carpenter Plan to discussion Foundation Award plans
  - B. Constitution and By-Laws
  - C. Faculty Welfare
  - D. Fiscal Affairs
  - E. Elections Committee
  - F. Ad hoc handbook committee
- V. Unfinished Business
  - A. Faculty Senate Communications Gissendanner
    - i. Planned to put together newsletter, but ongoing tasks prevented him
      - 1. Plan is to have it ready for November
    - ii. Will invite faculty and Stephen Richters in to prevent on new initiatives associated with the strategic plan
- VI. New Business
  - A. Report on deselection plan Rogers
    - i. Plan for repurposing of library space is being reconsidered because of inadequate funds
      - 1. Removing up to 5,000 books per month but a bit behind schedule
    - ii. Three or four online sources being piloted
  - B. Report on Student Technology Access Committee Kim
    - i. No competition for STAP money this year
    - ii. Plan is to introduce fourteen more smart classrooms this year

- C. AVPAA/EDRCL Position Gissendanner
  - i. It is a 50/50 position for an Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs and Executive Director of the Research Corporation of Louisiana
    - 1. There is a board of trustees in place
    - 2. There are four applicants for the position, three internal and one external but with ULM ties
  - ii. Potential Problems
    - 1. Only three of those in attendance in the Senate had heard of the Research Corporation of Louisiana
    - 2. Specifics of organization are hazy
      - a. Interviewees are also unclear about exact purpose of organization
        - i. One interviewee emphasized getting private funds, while another emphasized federal funds
      - b. There is a need to make the organization and directors' purposes clearer to the faculty
    - 3. AVPAA will deal with SACS accreditation
      - a. This will require so much time that he or she might not be able to do the job of the EDRCL as well
        - i. Gissendanner and search committee will make an additional push for the positions to be divided with AVPAA an immediate hire and EDRCL coming later
          - 1. Recommendation will be to have an outside search for EDRCL
  - iii. Reasons for position
    - 1. Research Council and Faculty Senate have desired a contact person in charge of research, which is the purpose of the Executive Director of the Research Corporation of Louisiana
    - 2. It will facilitate the university receiving grants and other research money and helping outside organizations make contact with professors for contracting purposes
  - iv. Hiring process
    - 1. Faculty Senate Executive Committee, Research Council, RCL Board of Trustees, and groups that answer to the AVPAA/EDRCL are all interviewing
      - a. A list of pros and cons will be developed and presented to VPAA, who will make the decision
    - 2. Each person is evaluated only on application materials, not personal knowledge of applicants
    - 3. Announcement of hire to be made in November
  - v. Questions and concerns
    - 1. Will Research Fellowship be impacted?
      - a. It will not
    - 2. How will the EDRCL be explained to applicants?

- a. Idea seems to be that the ED will define it
  - i. The bylaws are very limited
- 3. How much money will go through the organization?
  - a. We do not know
    - i. Also do not know how university and faculty will fulfill private donations
  - b. Idea is to use it to acquire funds to support research focus – See Subpoint D
    - i. For example, land acquisition in Calhoun and plans to develop it for environmental testing will go through RCL
- 4. What is the model?
  - a. It is based on other institutions, such as Georgia Tech, that have research corporations
- 5. Is the pay for the position going to be divided between the RCL and ULM?
  - a. No, at this point, because the RCL has no money
- 6. Where will clerical and administrative support come from?
  - a. Assumption is from the VPAA's office
    - i. Grant writer, who will also be hired, will likely help as well
    - ii. He will check on the specifics of the plan
- 7. The person is likely to spend all of his or her time on administration, especially SACS accreditation
- 8. Emphasis on focused support is problematic for those who currently receive little or no support
  - a. Arts, humanities, and the like are not considered workforce related, per administration
    - i. Investment will be in areas that can pay back the investment
  - b. Sounds like focus on generating revenue directly and by improving ratings and thereby state funding
  - c. Should remain cautious because it will be easy to fall out of the research rating
    - i. Remaining a research institution for some time could be valuable before trying to develop a focus by which to be known
  - d. Development has catalyzed a change in thinking
- 9. Humanities have funding organizations as well, but it sounds as if there will be no interest in promoting grant applications in it
  - a. We are the second-to-last rank in the UL system for federal fund acquisition
- 10. Vangelisti Quality support is necessary for advancement of research
- D. ULM Strategic Planning Gissendanner

- i. We are now a Carnegie Doctoral Institution
  - 1. To maintain it, more emphasis must be put on research and grants
  - 2. Also desire to move toward SREB 4-Year 2 rating
    - a. There has been a sudden shift toward research and away from teaching in the administration
- ii. The strategic plan is being worked on again
  - 1. Changes
    - a. The vision will change to emphasize ULM being a national, not regional university
    - b. Change in focus to emphasize research
  - 2. Idea is that the university has changed and needs to change its vision
    - a. Plan will include the EDRCL position
- iii. Focus of new research emphasis will be specific programs, not the university as a whole
  - 1. Idea of new Ph.D. programs is to make ULM unique by finding niches
    - a. Considered in light of Elevate Louisiana Initiative, which includes elimination of duplicated graduate programs
  - 2. Can mean teaching reductions, pay raises, hiring, and the like
- E. Elevate Louisiana Update from previous Academic Affairs Talk
  - i. Emphasis on more-or-less the same information shared by Gissendanner in Subpoints C and D
- F. Thornton and Gissendanner There are two vacancies in the senate that need to be filled
- VII. Adjournment 1:28 pm